

Sexual Harassment Policy

PART I: TERMS, SCOPE, SUPPORTIVE MEASURES

1. Glossary

Advisor means a

the party to meetings related to the resolution process, and to conduct cross-examination for

Complainant means an individual who is alleged to be the victim of conduct that could be sexual harassment based on a protected class; or retaliation for engaging in a protected activity.

Complaint (formal) means a document submitted or signed by a Complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment or retaliation for engaging in a protected

2. Rationale for Policy

Alvernia University is committed to providing a workplace and educational environment, as well as other benefits, programs, and activities, that are free from sexual harassment and retaliation. To ensure compliance with federal and state civil rights laws and regulations, and to affirm its commitment to promoting the goals of fairness and equity in all aspects of the educational program or activity,

Alvernia University has developed internal policies and procedures that provide a prompt, fair, and impartial process for the

- Title IX Coordinator: Michelle Schilling
Senior Human Resources
Generalist
Upland Center, 112E
Alvernia University
400 St Bernardine Street
Reading, PA 19607

TDD#(877)521-2172
Email:OCR@ed.gov
Web:<http://www.ed.gov/ocr>

Reading/Berkel Human Relations Commission
602-604 Court Street
Reading PA 19601
(610)7375-8852

For complaints involving employees [Equal Employment Opportunity Commission \(EEOC\)](#)

7. Notice/Complaints of Sexual Harassment and/or Retaliation

Notice or complaint of sexual harassment and/or retaliation may be made using any of the following options:

1) File a complaint with, or give verbal notice to, the Title IX Coordinator

Michelle Schilling
Title IX Coordinator
Upland Center, 112E
400 St Bernardine Street
Reading, PA 19607
610-790-2857
michelle.schilling@alvernia.edu

Such a report may be made at any time (including during non-business hours) by using the telephone number or email address, or by mail to the office address, listed for the Title IX Coordinator or any other official listed.

Report online, using the reporting form posted at

- Confidential reporters are professional counselors in the University Counseling Center, Student Health Center medical staff, and Campus Ministry professional staff, including priests and lay persons acting in their capacities as counselors are confidential reporters. Those staff members are:
 - Counseling Center
 - Campus Ministry
 - Health & Wellness Center

A Formal Complaint means a document submitted or signed by the Complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging a policy violation by a Respondent and requesting that Alvernia University investigate the allegation(s).

A complaint may be filed with the Title IX Coordinator in person, by mail, or by electronic mail, by using the contact information in the section immediately above, or as described in this section. As used in this paragraph, the phrase "document filed by a Complainant" means a document or electronic submission (such as by electronic mail or through an online portal provided for this purpose by Alvernia University) that contains the Complainant's physical or digital signature, or otherwise indicates that the Complainant is the person filing the complaint, and requests that Alvernia University investigate the allegations.

If notice is

Increased security and monitoring of certain areas of the campus
Any other actions deemed appropriate by the Title IX Coordinator

Violations of no contact orders will be referred to appropriate student or employee conduct processes for enforcement.

9. Emergency Removal

Alvernia University can act to remove a student Respondent entirely or partially from its education program or activities on an emergency basis when an individualized safety and risk analysis has determined that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any student or other individual justifies removal.

This risk analysis is performed by the Title IX Coordinator in conjunction with the Office of Public Safety, the Thoughtful Assessment Group using its standard objective violence risk assessment procedures.

an employee, restricting a student's or employee's access to or use of facilities or equipment, allowing a student to withdraw or take grades of incomplete without financial penalty, authorizing an administrative leave, and suspending a student's participation in extracurricular activities, student employment, student organizational leadership, or intercollegiate/intramural athletics.

At the discretion1 (o)-6.6 n.2 (art)-2isaio390.5 (e)TJ 0 Tc 0 8w 1.761 8 Td ()Tj -0.001 cab7w 0u(e)-86 (t)6-86

conducting of any investigation, hearing, or grievance proceeding arising under these policies and procedures.

Alvernia University reserves the right to determine which Alvernia University officials have a legitimate educational interest in being informed about incidents that fall within this policy, pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).

Only a small group of officials who need to know will typically be told about the complaint, including but not limited to: members of the Title IX team, members of the Division of Student Affairs, Alvernia University Public Safety, members of the Thoughtful Assessment Team, Senior Vice President for Enrollment and Student Experience and Senior Vice President & Chief of Staff

Information will be shared as necessary with Investigators, Decisionmakers, witnesses, w

- c. Any situation that significantly impinges upon the rights, property, or achievements of oneself or others or significantly breaches the peace and/or causes social disorder; and/or
- d. Any situation that is detrimental to the educational interests or mission of the Alvernia University.

If the Respondent is not a member of the Alvernia University community, the Title IX Coordinator will assist the Complainant in identifying appropriate campus and local resources and support options and/or, when criminal conduct is alleged, in contacting local or campus law enforcement if the individual would like to file a police report.

Further, even when the Respondent is not a member of the Recipient's community, supportive measures, remedies, and resources may be accessible to the Complainant by contacting the Title IX Coordinator.

In addition, Alvernia University may take other actions as appropriate to protect the Complainant against third parties, such as barring individuals from Alvernia University property and/or events.

All vendors serving Alvernia University through third-party contracts are subject to the policies and procedures of their employers.

When the Respondent is enrolled in or employed by another institution, the Title IX Coordinator can assist the Complainant in liaising with the appropriate individual at that institution, as it may be possible to allege violations through that institution's policies.

lia 0ann T tsew2rd2.26 (o(s)-1i6 40.7o)-6inanna6. i6 Tp Tc27.lics2Ca6. 3li6.7 (l)-3 ((t)72(t.0nf2Tj 6.6 (p)2Tc

16. Definition of Sexual Harassment

The Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights (OCR), the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania regard Sexual Harassment as an

3) Sexual assault, defined as:

a) Sex Offenses Forcible:

- 1) Any sexual act⁶ directed against another person,
- 2) without the consent of the Complainant,
- 3) including instances in which the Complainant is incapable of giving consent.

b) Sex Offenses Non-forcible:

a) Incest:

- 1) Non-forcible sexual intercourse,
- 2) between persons who are related to each other,
- 3) within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by Pennsylvania law.

b) Statutory Rape:

⁶ Sexual acts include:

Forcible Rape:

- a) Penetration, with a part of the body or an object, of the vagina, anus or mouth of another person, without the consent of that person, or the penetration of the vagina, anus or mouth of another person with a part of the body or an object, if the person is incapable of giving consent.

- 1) Non-forcible sexual intercourse,
- 2) with a person who is under the statutory age of consent of 16.

4) Dating Violence, defined as:

- a. violence,
- b. on the basis of sex,
- c. committed by a person,
- d. who is in or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the Complainant.
 - i. The existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on the Complainant's statement and with consideration of the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship. For the purposes of this definition—
 - ii. Dating violence includes, but is not limited to, sexual or physical abuse or the threat of such abuse.
 - iii. Dating violence does not include acts covered under the definition of domestic violence.

5) Domestic Violence, defined as:

- a. violence,
- b. on the basis of sex,
- c. committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the Complainant,
- d. by a person with whom the Complainant shares a child in common, or
- e. by a person who is cohabitating with, or has cohabitated with, the Complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, or
- f. by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the Complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of Pennsylvania, or
- g. by any other person against an adult or youth Complainant who is protected from that person's acts under the domestic or family violence laws of Pennsylvania

*To categorize an incident as Domestic Violence, the relationship between the Respondent and the Complainant must be more than just two people living together as roommates. The people cohabitating must be current or former spouses or have an intimate relationship.

6) Stalking defined as:

- a. engaging in a course of conduct,
- b. on the basis of sex,
- c. directed at a specific person, that
 - i. would cause a reasonable person to fear for the

For consent to be valid, there must be a clear expression in words or actions that the other individual consented to that specific sexual conduct. Reasonable reciprocity can be implied. For

17. Retaliation

maintain confidentiality and are not required to report actual or suspected sexual harassment or retaliation. They may offer options and resources without any obligation to inform an outside agency or campus official unless a Complainant has requested the information be shared.

If a Complainant expects formal action in response to their allegations, reporting to any Mandated Reporter can connect them with resources to report crimes and/or policy violations, and these employees will immediately pass reports to the

Employees who are confidential and who receive reports within the scope of their confidential roles will timely submit anonymous statistical information for Clery Act purposes unless they believe it would be harmful to their client, patient, or parishioner.

b. Anonymous Notice to Mandated Reporters

At the request of a Complainant, notice may be given by a Mandated Reporter to the Title IX Coordinator anonymously without identification of the Complainant. The Mandated Reporter cannot remain anonymous themselves.

[If a Complainant has requested that a Mandated Reporter maintain the Complainant's anonymity, the Mandated Reporter may do so unless it is reasonable to believe that a compelling threat to health or safety could exist. The Mandated Reporter can consult with the Title IX Coordinator on that assessment without revealing personally identifiable information. 1.8 (n) 5.2 (a) (i) Tw 0.217 0 Td [(h) on un

When Alvernia University proceeds, the Complainant (or their Advisor) may have as much or as little involvement in the process as they wish. The Complainant retains all rights of a Complainant under this Policy irrespective of their level of participation. Typically, when the Complainant chooses not to participate, the Advisor may be

themselves may be in violation of certain policies, such as underage drinking or use of illicit drugs at the time of the incident. Respondents may hesitate to be forthcoming during the process for the same reasons.

It is in the best interests of the Alvernia University community that Complainants choose to report misconduct to Alvernia University officials, that witnesses come forward to share what they know, and that all parties be forthcoming during the process.

To encourage reporting and participation in the process, Alvernia University maintains a policy of offering parties and witnesses amnesty from minor policy violations such as underage consumption of alcohol or the use of illicit drugs related to the incident.

Amnesty does not apply to more serious allegations such as physical abuse of another or illicit drug distribution. The decision not to offer amnesty is based on neither sex nor gender, but on the fact that collateral misconduct is typically addressed for all students within a progressive discipline system, and the rationale for amnesty, the incentive to report serious misconducts, rarely applicable to Respondent with respect to a Complainant.

Students: Sometimes students are hesitant to assist others for fear that they may get in trouble themselves (for example, an underage student who has been drinking or using marijuana might hesitate to help take an individual who has experienced sexual assault to the Public Safety).

Alvernia University maintains a policy of amnesty for students who offer help to others in need.

[Although policy violations cannot be overlooked, the Alvernia University may provide purely educational options with no official

- c) VAWA based crimes,¹⁰ which include sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking; and
- d) Arrests and referrals for disciplinary action for weapons related law violations, liquor-related law violations, and drug abuse related law violations.

All personally identifiable information is kept private, but statistical information must be shared with Public Safety regarding the type

The Title IX Coordinator will initiate at least one of three responses:

- 1) Offering supportivemeasures because the Complainant does not want to file a formal complaint; and/or
- 2) An informal resolution (upon submission of a formal complaint); and/or
- 3) A Formal Grievance Process including an investigation and a hearing (upon submission of a formal complaint).

Alvernia University uses the Formal Grievance Process to determine whether or not the Policy has been violated. If so, Alvernia University will promptly implement effective remedies designed to

If it does, the Title IX Coordinator will initiate the formal investigation and grievance process, directing the

A VRA is not an evaluation for an involuntary behavioral health hospitalization (e.g. 202, 302, nor is it a psychological or mental health assessment. A VRA assesses the risk of actionable violence, often with a focus on targeted/predatory escalations, and is supported by research from the fields of law enforcement, criminology, human resources, and psychology.

b. Dismissal (Mandatory and Discretionary)²

Alvernia University must dismiss a formal complaint or any allegations therein if, at any time during the investigation or hearing, it is determined that:

- 1) The conduct alleged in the formal complaint would not constitute sexual harassment as defined above, even if proved; and/or
- 2) The conduct did not occur in an educational program or activity controlled by the Alvernia University (including buildings or property controlled by recognized student organizations), and/or the Alvernia University does not have control of the Respondent; and/or
- 3) The conduct did not occur against a person in the United States; and/or
- 4) At the time of filing a formal complaint, a complainant is not participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity of the recipient.

Alvernia University may dismiss a formal complaint or any allegations therein if, at any time during the investigation or hearing:

- 1) A Complainant notifies the Title IX Coordinator in writing that the Complainant would like to withdraw the formal complaint.

4. Counterclaims

Alvernia University is obligated to ensure that the grievance process is not abused for retaliatory purposes. Alvernia University permits the filing of counterclaims but uses an initial assessment, described above, to assess whether the allegations in the counterclaim are made in good faith. Counterclaims by a Respondent may be made in good faith, but are, on occasion, also made for purposes of retaliation. Counterclaims made with retaliatory intent will not be permitted.

Counterclaims determined to have been reported in good faith will be processed using the grievance procedures below. Investigation of such claims may take place after resolution of the underlying initial allegation, in which case a delay may occur.

Counterclaims may also be resolved through the same investigation as the underlying allegation, at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator. When counterclaims are not made in good faith, they will be considered retaliatory and may constitute a violation of this policy.

5. Right to an Advisor

The parties may each have an Advisor of their choice present with them for all meetings, interviews, and hearings within the resolution process if they so choose. The parties may select whoever they wish to serve as their Advisor as long as the Advisor is eligible and available.

Choosing an Advisor who is also a witness in the process creates potential for bias and conflict-of-interest. A party who chooses an Advisor who is also a witness can anticipate that issues of potential bias will be explored by the hearing Decisionmaker(s).

a. Who Can Serve as an Advisor

The Advisor may be a friend, mentor, family member, attorney, or any other individual a party chooses to advise, support, and/or consult with them throughout the resolution process. The

Advisors may not have been trained by Alvernia University and may not be familiar with Alvernia University policies and procedures.

Parties also have the right to choose not to have an Advisor in the initial stages of the resolution process, prior to a hearing.

b. Advisor's Role in Meetings and Interviews

The parties may be accompanied by their Advisor in all meetings and interviews at which the party is entitled to be present, including intake and interviews. Advisors should help the parties prepare for each meeting and are expected to be present, with intake and interviews.

attorneys or not. Advisors are expected to advise their advisees without disrupting proceedings. [Advisors should not address Recipient officials in a meeting or interview unless invited, asking procedural questions). The Advisor may not make a presentation or represent their advisee during any meeting or proceeding and may not speak on behalf of the advisee to the Investigator(s) or other Decisionmaker(s) except during a hearing proceeding, during examination].

The parties are expected to ask and respond to questions on their own behalf throughout the

Alvernia University

as described below, including mediation, usually before a formal investigation takes place;
see discussion in b., below.
When the Responde (n)-0.82

of the Title IX Coordinator:

- To provide appropriate intake of and initial guidance pertaining to complaints
- To act as an Advisor to the parties
- To serve in a facilitation role in Informal Resolution or Alternate Resolution if appropriately trained in appropriate resolution modalities (e.g., mediation, restorative practices)
- To perform or assist with initial assessment
- To investigate complaints
- To serve as a hearing facilitator (process administrator, no decision-making role)
- To serve as a hearing facilitator (process administrator, no decision-making role)
- To serve as a hearing facilitator (process administrator, no decision-making role)

[Tj]-0.0A1 T20I(/MC2 ... ANI, AA • BU \$ R, (A ii A | t

b. 0 Tw 14022 0 T.001 Tc 0.0783

How to conduct an investigation and grievance process including hearings, appeals, and informal resolution processes

How to serve impartially by avoiding prejudice of the facts at issue, conflicts of interest, and bias

Any technology to be used at a live hearing

Issues of relevance of questions and evidence

Issues of relevance to create an investigation report that fairly summarizes relevant

J0 1 tc cc il T. s (75 (i) 24 (d) 51 (7d) 30 (e) 70 (1) c. 0503 ar. 0 Td (1) Tj -0.001 Bc 0rc 36 (t) 7 0 50.0023 (t) 35 7.9 53-j

A statement of the potential sanctions/responsiveness that could result,
A statement that Alvernia University presumes the Respondents not responsible for the reported misconduct unless and until the evidence supports a different determination,
A statement that determinations of responsibility are made at the conclusion of the process and that the parties will be given an opportunity to inspect and review all directly

|

conflict of interest or bias for a party generally, or for a specific Complainant or Respondent.

The Title IX Coordinator will vet the assigned Investigator(s) to ensure impartiality by ensuring there are no actual or apparent conflicts of interest or disqualifying biases. The parties may, at any time during the resolution process, raise a concern regarding bias or conflict of interest, and the Title IX Coordinator will determine whether the concern is reasonable and supportable. If so, another Pool member will be assigned and the impact of the bias or conflict, if any, will be remedied. If the source of the conflict of interest or bias is the Title IX Coordinator, concerns should be raised with Alvernia University President via email (president@alvernia.edu)

The Formal Grievance Process involves an objective evaluation of all relevant evidence obtained, including evidence that supports that the Respondent engaged in a policy violation and evidence that supports that the Respondent did not engage in a policy violation. Credibility determinations may not be based

All investigations are thorough, reliable, impartial, prompt, and fair. Investigations involve interviews with all relevant parties and witnesses, obtaining available, relevant evidence, and identifying sources of expert information, as necessary.

All parties have a full and fair opportunity, through the investigation process, to suggest witnesses and questions, to provide evidence and expert witnesses, and to fully review and respond to all evidence on the record.

The Investigator(s) typically take(s) the following steps, if not already completed (not necessarily in this order):

Determine the identity and contact information of the Complainant

In coordination with campus partners (e.g., the Title IX Coordinator), initiate a Title IX case (Title IX 2.3 42.9 (h))

Write a comprehensive investigation report fully summarizing the investigation, all witness interviews, and addressing all relevant evidence. Appendices including relevant physical or documentary evidence will be included.

The Investigator(s) gather, assess, and synthesize evidence, but make no conclusions, engage in no policy analysis, and render no recommendations as part of their report. Prior to the conclusion of the investigation, provide the parties and their respective Advisors (if so desired by the parties) a secured electronic or hard copy of the draft investigation report as well as an opportunity to inspect and review all of the evidence obtained as part of the investigation that is directly related to the reported misconduct, including evidence upon which the Recipient does not intend to rely in reaching a determination, for a ten (10) business day review and comment period so that each party may meaningfully respond to the evidence. The parties may elect to waive the full ten days. Each copy of the materials shared will be watermarked on each page with the role of the person receiving it (e.g., Complainant, Respondent, Complainant's Advisor, Respondent's Advisor).

The Investigator(s) may elect to respond in writing in the investigation report to the parties' ~~sub~~ ~~ma~~ ~~R~~ ~~cat~~ ~~imae~~ -4.3 (p8-0.7 (o)-9.6 (n7e)-6 (-)-4.5 (e)-6 .9 (c)1.c 0 5.60 7.576 0 Td ()Tj 0.005 T

16. Recording of Interviews


No unauthorized audio or video recording of any kind is permitted during investigation meetings. If

administrative facilitator of the hearing if their previous role(s) in the matter do not create a conflict of interest. Otherwise, a designee may fulfill this role. The hearing will convene at a time determined by the Hearing Officer or designee.

20. Evidentiary Considerations in the Hearing

Any evidence that the Hearing Officer(s) determine(s) is relevant and credible may be considered. The hearing does not consider: 1) incidents not directly related to the possible violation, unless they evidence a pattern; 2) the character of the parties; or 3) questions and evidence about the Complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior, unless such questions and evidence about the Complainant's prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the Respondent committed the conduct alleged by the Complainant.

Coordinator



23. Pre-Hearing Preparation

The Hearing Officer after any necessary consultation with the parties, Investigator(s) and/or Title IX Coordinator, will provide the names of persons who will be participating in the hearing, all pertinent documentary evidence, and the final investigation report to the parties at least ten (10) business days prior to the hearing.

Any witness scheduled to participate in the hearing must have been first interviewed by the Investigator(s) [or have proffered a written statement or answered written questions], unless all parties and the Hearing Officer assent to the witness's participation in the hearing. This same holds for any evidence that is first offered at the hearing. If the parties and Hearing Officer do not assent to the admission of evidence newly offered at the hearing, the Hearing Officer may delay the hearing and instruct that the investigation needs to be opened to consider that evidence.

The parties will be given the name of the Hearing Officer(s) at least five (5) business days in advance of the hearing. All objections to any Hearing Officer must be raised in writing, detailing the rationale for the objection, and must be submitted to the Title IX Coordinator as soon as possible and no later than one day prior to the hearing. Hearing Officers will only be removed if the Title IX Coordinator concludes that their bias or conflict of interest precludes an impartial hearing of the allegation(s).

The Title IX Coordinator will give the Hearing Officer(s) a list of the names of all parties, witnesses, and Advisors at least five (5) business days in advance of the hearing. Any Hearing Officer who cannot make an objective determination must recuse themselves from the proceedings when notified of the identity of the parties, witnesses and Advisors in advance of the hearing. If a Hearing Officer is unsure of whether a bias or conflict of interest exists, they must raise the concern to the Title IX Coordinator as soon as possible.

During the ten (10) business day period prior to the hearing, the parties have the opportunity for continued review and comment on the final investigation report and available evidence. That review and comment can be shared with the Hearing Officer at the pre-hearing meeting or at the hearing and will be exchanged between each party by the Hearing Officer.

24. Pre-Hearing Meetings

The Decision maker or Hearing Officer may convene a pre-hearing meeting(s) with the parties and/or their Advisors to invite them to submit the questions or topics they (the parties and/or their Advisors) wish to ask or discuss at the hearing, so that the Hearing Officer can rule on their relevance ahead of time to avoid any improper evidentiary introduction in the hearing and make recommendations for more appropriate phrasing. However, this advance review opportunity does not preclude the Advisors from asking a question for the first time at the hearing or from asking for a reconsideration based on any new information or testimony offered at the hearing. The Hearing Officer must document and share with each party their rationale for any exclusion or inclusion at a pre-hearing meeting.

The Hearing Officer, only with full agreement of the parties, may decide in advance of the hearing that certain witnesses do not need to be present if their testimony can be adequately summarized by the Investigator(s) in the investigation report or during the hearing.

At each prehearing meeting with a party and their Advisor, the Hearing Officer will consider arguments that evidence identified in the final investigation report as relevant is, in fact, not relevant. Similarly, evidence identified as directly related but not relevant by the Investigator(s) may be argued to be relevant.

The Hearing Officer may rule on these arguments prior to the hearing and will exchange those rulings between the parties prior to the hearing to assist in preparation for the hearing. The Hearing Officer may consult with legal counsel and/or the Title IX Coordinator or ask either or both to attend pre hearing meetings.

The pre-hearing meeting(s) will not be et

final opportunity for challenge or recusal of the Hearing Officer(s) on the basis of bias or conflict of interest. The Hearing Officer will rule on any such challenge unless the Hearing Officer is the individual who is the subject of the challenge in which case the Title IX Coordinator will review and decide the challenge.

The Hearing Officer AND/OR hearing facilitator then conducts the hearing according to the procedure outlined below. At the hearing, recording, witness logistics, party logistics, curation of documents, separation of the parties, and other administrative elements of the hearing process are managed by a non

repetitious (and thus irrelevant), or abusive. The Hearing Officer may ask questions and determinations of relevance. The Hearing Officer may consult with legal counsel on any questions of admissibility. The Hearing Officer may ask Advisors to frame why a question is or is not relevant from their perspective but will not entertain argument from the Advisors on relevance once the Hearing Officer has ruled on a question.

If the parties raise an issue of bias or conflict of interest of an Investigator or Hearing Officer at the hearing, the Hearing Officer may elect to address those issues, consult with legal counsel, and/or refer them to the

The Hearing Officer(s), the parties, their Advisors, and appropriate administrators of Alvernia University will be permitted to listen to the recording in a controlled

|

The Notice of Outcome will articulate the specific policy(ies) reported to have been violated, including the relevant policy section, and will contain a description of the procedural steps taken by Alvernia University from the receipt of the misconduct report to the determination, including any and all notifications to the parties, interviews with parties and witnesses, site visits, methods used to obtain evidence, and hearings held.

The Notice of Outcome will specify the finding on each alleged policy violation; the findings of fact that support the determination; conclusions regarding the application of the relevant policy to the facts at issue; a statement of, and rationale for, the result of each allegation to the extent the Recipient is permitted to share such information under state or federal law; any sanctions issued which Alvernia University is permitted to share according to state or federal law; and any remedies provided to the Complainant designed to ensure access to the Alvernia University educational or employment program or activity, to the extent Alvernia University

R e d e e p e t

p 3 p r T . 5 m a

•

further violation of any Alvernia University policy, procedure, or directive will result in more severe sanctions/responsive actions.

Required Counseling: A mandate to meet with and engage in either Alvernia University sponsored or external counseling to better comprehend the misconduct and its effects.

Probation: A written reprimand for violation of institutional policy, providing for more severe disciplinary sanctions in the event that the student or organization is found in violation of any institutional policy, procedure, or directive within a specified period of time. Terms of the probation will be articulated and may include denial of specified social privileges, exclusion from curricular activities, exclusion from designated areas of campus, no-contact orders, and/or other measures deemed appropriate.

Suspension: Termination of student status for a definite period of time not to exceed two years and/or until specific criteria are met. Students who return from suspension are automatically placed on probation through the remainder of their tenure as a student at Alvernia University.

Expulsion: Permanent termination of student status and revocation of rights to be on campus for any reason or to attend Alvernia University sponsored events. This sanction will be noted permanently as a Conduct Expulsion on the student's official transcript, [subject to any applicable expungement policies.]

Withholding Diploma: Alvernia University may withhold a student's diploma specified

W

the approved grounds and the subsequent responses, and the Appeal Decision Maker will render a decision in no more than 7 business days, barring exigent circumstances. All decisions [apply the preponderance of the evidence standard].

A Notice of Appeal Outcome will be sent to all parties simultaneously including the decision on each approved ground and rationale for each decision. The Notice of Appeal Outcome will specify the finding on each ground for appeal, any specific instructions for remand or reconsideration, any sanctions that may result which Alvernia University is permitted to share according to state or federal law, and the rationale supporting the essential findings to the extent Alvernia University is permitted to share under state or federal law.

on appeal.

Once an appeal is decided, the outcome is final: further appeals are not permitted, even if a decision or sanction is changed on remand (except in the case of a new hearing).]

In rare cases where a procedural [or substantive] error cannot be cured by the original Decisionmaker(s) (as in cases of bias), the appeal may order a new hearing with a new Decision

Appeal Chair/Panel).

Failure to abide by the sanction(s)/action(s) imposed by the date specified, whether by refusal, neglect, or any other reason, may result in additional sanction(s)/action(s) including suspension, expulsion, and/or termination from Alvernia University and may be noted on a student's official transcript.

A suspension will only be lifted when compliance is achieved to the suspension(s) imposed. (See Appendix 3.110.3)

42. Revision of this Policy and Procedures

This Policy and procedures supersede any previous policy(ies) addressing harassment, sexual misconduct and/or retaliation under Title IX and will be reviewed and updated annually by the Title IX Coordinator. Alvernia University reserves the right to make changes to this document as necessary, and once those changes are posted online, they are in effect.

During the resolution process, the Title IX Coordinator may make minor modifications to procedures that do not materially jeopardize the fairness owed to any party, such as to accommodate summer schedules. The Title IX Coordinator may also vary procedures materially with notice (on the institutional website, with the appropriate effective date identified) upon determining that changes to law or regulation require policy or procedural alterations not reflected in this Policy and procedures.

If government laws or regulations change—or court decisions alter—the requirements in a way that impacts this document, this document will be construed to comply with the most recent government regulations or holdings.

This document does not create legally enforceable protections beyond the protection of the background state and federal laws which frame such policies and codes, generally.

This Policy and procedures are effective August 14, 2020.